Offprint

LINGUISTICS

JOURNAL OF THE LINGUISTIC SOCIETY OF INDIA

Volume 42

Numbers 1-4

March-December 1961

Edited by :

P. Dasgupta
D. P. Pattanayak
H. C. Patyal
A. B. Singh

REPORT ON'A SURVEY OF DARDIC LANGUAGES OF KASHMIR

Ruth Laila Scilking

Northern Regional Language Gentra, Patiala

Introduction

The research project on which I am reporting today was approved under the ride of "A Comparative Study of Dardic Phonology," we realized that we had no reliable criteria for defining a Dardie language, or even for classifving Kashmiri and Shina together as However, in the first few months of our work Dardie languages. As we compared then feature lw feature, it often seemed to us that if Shina was Dardie, then Kashmiri must be something clse - or that if Kashmiri was Darto make a systematic comparison of a group die, then perhaps Shina was closer to Pahari, and so on. Since the goal of our project was of supposedly closely related languages, this was a considerable abstacle.

After much debate we revised our project to include a survey of six languages traditionally classified as Dardic. Four of these languages are usually classified in one subgroup: Kashmiri, Kashtawari, Poguli and Siraji. Two are Indian dialects of Shina: the dialect of Dras, and the dialect of Tilel. The Indian Shina data was amplified by data, from other sources, for the Pakistani dialects of Cilgit and Indus Kohistan. Thus we had—or expected to find—four dialects of Kashmiri and four of Shina, with a fairly good geographical balance.

We also expanded our scape to include not merely phonology, but selected morphological and syntactic features as well, In particular, we took account of features or traits which have been considered by userone

scholars, including Crietson, Morgenstlerne, Kachru and Pussman as typically Dardia, We hoped that at least some of these leatures hoped to show not only the isoglosses hetween Shinn and Kashmiri, but also their traits in common. And although our research would prove to be isoglasses. Ideally we has raised new points of discussion, the overall picture has been chaiffed. Kashaiti and Shina have more features in common with each other than either has with other tudo. Aryan languages spoken in the region, such languages are Indo-Aryan, and not a mixture as Pahari or Punjubi. Furthermore, a study of Indo-Aryan and Iranian. We hope these results will lead to renewed discussion about of core vocabularies shows that both these the origins and development of the Dardir languages,

Methodology

The Dardic languages are spuken over an area which extends approximately five hundred miles from east to west. It includes four major mountain ranges and has always been difficult of access. Today this area falls in three countries: India, Pakistan and Afghanistum. Most of the research in India has been done by the British, or by Indians; and is published in English. A large part of the research done in the other countries was conducted by Europeans, and is published in French or German. Other works are available only in Urdu, Kashmiri, Russian etc.

Because of the sensitivity of the nea and

organized as a team project. Dr Omkar N. Koul was the Indian research supervisor, I did the planning and implementation, and Vijay Kaul collected all the data. All of us participated in the analysis and interpretation. A number of scholars made their own data available to us, notably Dr Georg Buddress of Germany, Dr N. Ramaswami of India, and Mr Md. Zarin of Pakistan.

Because our time was limited and our six languages were located at distant points, we designed a research instrument consisting of a word list and 57 sample sentences. The word list contains 280 core vocabulary items selected from various sources. The 57 sentences incorporate these core vocabulary items in different grammatical and syntactic frames, and we are grateful to Dr Franklin Southworth, who devised them.

collected in Doda and Kishtawar. The data for Drasi was collected mainly in Dras. Speakers of Poguli, Kashmiri and Tileli were available in the Kashmir Valley. All the data The data for Siraji and Kashtawari was in the field, and checked with the speakers. It was later also transcribed by myself or was tape recorded by Vijay Kaul, transcribed Dr Koul, In some cases the speakers were it was a time-communing process to win their culled back for more interviews. In general confidence and explain the purpose of the dialect, data was collected from at least two survey, and not less than a week was required to get a word list and sample sentences from one speaker, plus transcribe them. For each Speakers.

Dardie Features

Six phonological features have been most frequently effed its characteristic of Dardie

companion feature consists of palatalization of world-final consonants.

2. Assimilation of vowels across syllable boundaries, also called "openthetic vowel change." A companion feature is the development of unrounded back vowels.

Ex. la:mUT;, 'tail' (Kohis'tyoM)
fotu:i'tye, 'elbows' (Tilcli: fotu:i',
'an elbow')

kun', 'alone (F. sg.)' (Kashmiri: kun, 'alone m.sg.') mA:l', 'fathers' (Kashmiri; mo:l, 'father') 3. Retention of OIA consonant clusters. These were mainly initial clusters of stop + r (Cr) and final ones of sibilant plus stop. We did not concern ourselver with medial clusters.

Ex. Shina: kronı~kom, diST, nST Kashmiri: tru:vah, kruhun -f. Distinction between three sibilants: s_i S', and S.

5. Loss of aspiration of voiced stops:

Ex. Kashmui: gur, ho:y, dOAd

Shina: Zu:, uZu (< abhra-), dut
(< dugdha-), du:m

6. Development of contrastite tones. It is too early to tell whether this is associated with loss of aspiration as mentioned in (5).

There are three morphological features;

7. Pronoun systems characterized by the following semantic distinctions (in at least three-term sets); plus or minus near, and plus or minus visible.

8. Nominal systems characterised by case markers in an inflectional system of more than binniy complexity—as opposed to the

companion feature is the accurrence of agent

9. Postpositions of the Dardie type occurring in combination with the case markers mentioned in (8),

Ex. Shina: -majl, go:Zemaji; sa:eti, tuse-sa:eti

Kashmiri: maMz, garas mnMz; sl.t', tse.sl.t'

There are two syntactic features:

19. Oceanrence of SVO or SOV word order in sentences.

the Agreement in past tense transitive sentences between semantic subject and verb, as opposed to agreement between object and yearh.

Vocabulary

We counted the shuted vocabulary items in a basic word list of 126 items, selected from the 167 items in Gérard Fussman's Atlas of Dardle and Kefir Languages. For this purpose we distinguished between first order cognates and second order cognates. First order cognates have both a common origin and a similar phonological development:

Kashmiri: purifr < Skt. pantri: 'grand-daughter'

Shina: po:Ci < Skt. pautri:-

Second order cognittes have a dissimilar phonological development:

Shina akni " | Skt. cka;daS'a 'eleven' Hindi: gyanra: | Skt. cka;daS'a

At the easy to quibble about definitions; for xample, what is the difference between a rate ending and a postposition in a particular talect? Is a particular final -i' palatalization if a devoiced vowel? When there is more

of these features is fairly clear cut, and we feel that further work on sound correspondences and reconstruction would tend to confirm our conclusions.

Features Common to Kashmiri and Shina

Six features were found to be common to all or nearly all the dialects studied:

1. Assimilation of vowels across sylfable boundaries. This occurs in all the dialects, however, it occurs less trequently in Singi Clight and Drasi. The companion feature, development of back unfounded vowels, occurs in every dialect except Gilgiti, Phonemically, Kashmiri has a series of centralized vowels, written /I, A/. Phonetically they seem to be further back, but unrounded. /U, E/ occur in all the Shina dialects except Gilgiti, but do not usually have phonenic status. [wEi], 'water'.

2. Retention of OIA consonant clusters in the initial position characterizes all the dialects spoken in India, both Shina and Kashmiri. However, this feature is becoming obsolete in Titeli. The Shina dialects of Pukislan appear to have lost these clusters recently.

3. Loss of aspiration of voiced stops chan acterizes Kashmiri, Kashawari and all the Shina dialects. But Pognli and Siraji relains the uspirates even in fadhhave. /dliil/, 'bark of tree'.

4, All the languages have pronoun systems distruguishing plus or minus acar and plus or minus visible. In Stray, Poguli, Kash tawari and Kashaniri thrise approximas an contained in three term sets (Kashanin qi, 'this, he, it', hu, 'that, he, it' and su 'that, he it (invisible)'). In the Shina dialects the sets contain four or more terms Shina dialects.

plus or minus visible. Tileli has an additional damension: inclusive versus exclusive.

- guages show well developed inflectional systems, including markers for the agent case. However, agreement in past tense sentences is invariably between the sementic subject and the verb.
- 6. All the languages possess postpositions of the Dardie type, which are added to the fleeted nouns.

Fratures Separating Kashmiri and Shina

Six features, separate Kashmiri and Shina. The first three may also be considered isnglesses. Lawhmiri and other 1A longuages.

- L'alatelization of consonants occurs in Suraji, Pognit, Kuchuski and Kashtawari, though in Kashtawari it does not seem to lave a high functional load. Kashtawari could be more canveniently analyzed as haveing shortening of word-final vowels. Palatalization does not occur in any of the Shina
- "2 Kashmiri, Poguli and Kashtawari havo developed a centralized vowel series. On the phonological level they also have instances of back unrounded vowels. No Shina dialect has developed a centralized vowel series.
 - 3. SVO word order occurs only in Kash-ndri and Kashtawari.
- 4. Retention of OIA consonant clusters in final (as opposed to initial or medial) position occurs only in Shina (Drasi and Tileli).
- 5. All the Shina dialects preserve the ancient three way contrast among sibilants, which has been lost in the other dialects.
- 6. The development of contrastive tones

Shared Yocabulary

The results of the core vocabulary count fill out the patterns described above. Gilgiti Shina shares 80% of it; vocabulary with Kohistani, 81% with Tileti, and 85% with Drasi. (Gilgiti and Drasi else share similarities in the pronominal and verbal systems, which lends support to the Drasis' claim of a Gilgiti origin.) The vocabulary shared by the Shina dialects consists almost entirely of first order cognates.

Kashmiri shares 50% of its voenhulary with Gilgiti Shina, mostly first order cognates. It shares 63% with Siraji, of which 29% are second order cognates—that is, words that both Siraji and Kashmul share with other Indo-Aryan languages. It shares 70% with Poguli and 80% with Kashtawari, mostly first order cagnates.

The vocabulary count tends to place the Shina dialocts in one group and Kashmir Kashtawari and Pogult in another. There are other isoglosses between Siraji and Poguli on one hand, and Kashmiri/Kashtawari on the other—notably the retention of voiced aspirates in the former, Siraji, as Grienan alveady notes, is very hard to classify. We do not consider it a dalect of Kashmiri, Possibly it is a creok, it would be useful to make a systematic comparison of Siraji with Pulmi dialocts.

Finally, I that a count of the vocaludary items in Pursuant's Atlas, which provides derivations, in order to find out what percentage of Kashuiri and what percentage of Shina words are of Sanskitte erigin. The results show 72% of Kashmiri words and 78% of Shuna words are of Sanskritic origin. However, a substantial portion of these

Kashmirk gur < Sunskrift ghoTakn,

Shina: aS'po < Sanskrit aS'va, 'horse' .

A systematic study of these lexical variations might provide claes to the origins of both languages.

Conclusions

Kashniti and Shina share approximately half their vocabulary along with other phonological features traditionally considered "Dardie". On an equal number of other points, they may be distinguished from each other. The most important differences are phonological: the emergence of tone systems in Shinu, the development of palatalization and centralized vowels in Kashniri, the retention of fits three way contrast in sibilants in Shina.

Kashmiri is unique among IA languages in having SVO word order. Its vowel system and its palatalized consonants also place it in a unique position among Indian languages. These features deserve further study to see whether they may be derived from OIA

いいか

1. [The editor has imposed an easy-to-print transcription. Printed I corresponds to the barred i of the manuscript; U stands for an upsidedown m; A for Schwa; E for a small gamma;

REFERENCES

Bailey, T. Grahume, 1924, Grammar of the Shina Langinge, London: Royal Ablutic Society.

Fushman Gerurd, 1972. Atlas Linguiskinge des Parleis Durdes et Rafise, Vol. 1, Cartes, Vol. 2, Commentaire, Parleis Ecole Françuise d'Extreme-Orient, Gristson, George A. 1915. Indo-Aryan Family, Northwestern Group: Specimen of the Dardie of Pisacha Languise (including Kashdie of Pisacha Languises (including Kash-

pairi). Linguistic Survey of India, Vol. 8, Part

sources, or whether they must be searched for in some unknown anhabatum.

Kashniri does not show the horiogeneity of Shina, unless the group is considered to consist only of Kashniri and Kashtawari.

Another way of saying this is I at there has been more convergence among Janguages spoken in the vicinity of the Kashnir Valley, than among Shina and its neighbarns.

Strat cannot be considered a dialect of Kashmiri in the strict sease. Unless it can be classed along with Western Pahari, it is probably a ceole.

And lessity, the Darche features described above do not for the most part chanclerize. Palari or IA languages of the plains. Dr Shackle has pointed out some points of agreement lightests and the Darche languages; these are probably accounted for by the include nature of both. The patterning of the features, as described here, is unique to Shina and Kashmiri; and until further work is done, may be considered to define this subgroup of the Dardic languages.

dotted txzc; S' for an s with an acute in Sanlarit and for a with a hook elsewhere; M for a pasal tilde; colon for macron.] 2, Calcutta. Reprinted Delhi: Mothlal Banuri-

Kachru, Braj. 1969, Kashmiri and Other Bardie Languages, in: Current Tranda in Lunguelte. Vol. 5, The Hagne: Mouton. Morgenstiothe, Georg, 1993. Dandie and Kafar Languages, In: The Encyclopedia of Islam, New Edition, Vol. 2, Francisch frieden: E. J.